

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**

**Works, services & Goods**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Sukkur IBA University
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER PROVINCIAL
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Solar Panel
- 4) TENDER NUMBER Tender Proc # 386
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Procurement of Solar Panel
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME competent Authority
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE PKR Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE  
(For civil works only) \_\_\_\_\_
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) One week
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26-06-2024 @ 3:30 pm
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD One  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED One
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS One
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Provided  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Nordtech International
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE PKR 1.054 M
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID). Most Advantageous Bidder

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Yes  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_ Vice Chancellor (VC)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	Yes	T0125-23-0033 Dated on 10-06-2024
	No	
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes	Daily Jang and Dawn on 09-06-2024 and in Kawish on 08-06-2024
	No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic  Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes  No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes  No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes  No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes  No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes  No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes  No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes  No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes  No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	NO

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	NO

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	NO

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	NO

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?  Yes  No

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD: (If yes, enclose a copy)  Yes  No

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?  Yes  No

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	NO

Signature & Official Stamp of **HARILAL NATHANI**  
 Director Procurement  
 Sindh University

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**Works, services & Goods**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT. Sukkur IBA University
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER PROVINCIAL
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Shoes for Students
- 4) TENDER NUMBER Tender Proc # 391
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Procurement of Shoes for Students
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME competent Authority
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE PKR 8.57 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE  
(For civil works only) \_\_\_\_\_
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Thirty days
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09- 08- 2024 @ 3:30 pm
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Two  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Provided  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Rashid Shoes Company
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE PKR 5.171M
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID). Most Advantageous Bidder
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Yes  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING EIC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_ Vice Chancellor (VC) \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	Yes	T0125-23-0037 Dated on 24-07-2024 dated 30-06-2024
	No	
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes	Daily dawn and Express 03-07-2024 amd kawish on 02-07-2024
	No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	NO

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	NO

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	NO

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	NO

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD: (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	NO

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**HARI LAL NATHANI**  
A.D. Director Procurement  
Sukkur IBA University

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**